

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/933,956 08/21/2001		08/21/2001	Ramesh R. Sarukkai	YAHOO-01007US0	7521
23910	7590	09/01/2006		EXAMINER	
FLIESLER I			WOZNIAK, JAMES S		
SUITE 400	ARCADE	RO CENTER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANC	ISCO. C	A 94111	2626		

DATE MAILED: 09/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/933,956	SARUKKAI, RAMESH R.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		James S. Wozniak	2626			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS OF THE MAILING THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lety filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	Since this application is in condition for allower	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)፟⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-5,7,8,10-14,26,28,30 and 32 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5,7,8,10-14,26,28,30 and 32 is/are Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)🛚	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 21 August 2001 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/933,956 Page 2

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. In response to the office action from 9/27/2005, the applicant has submitted a request for continued examination, filed 3/27/2006, amending claims 1-4, 7-8, 26, 28, and 30, while adding claims 32 and arguing to traverse the art rejection based on the amended limitations (Amendment, Pages 9-10). Applicant's arguments have been fully considered, however the previous rejection is maintained, altered with respect to the amended claims and due to the reasons listed below in the response to arguments.
- 2. In response to the amendment of claims 7-8 and 30, the examiner has withdrawn the previous objections directed towards a lack of proper antecedent basis.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the following reasons:

With respect to Claims 1, 4, 26, and 32, the applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references. In response to such arguments, the examiner directs the

Art Unit: 2626

applicant to the current art rejections, which have been altered with respect to the presently amended claims. Also, in response to the applicant's argument that Ladd et al (U.S. Patent: 6,269,336) fails to teach an identification associated with a prerecorded audio file (Amendment, Page 9), the examiner points out that Ladd discloses a prompt tag element associated with a prompt that may be in the form of a recorded audio file (Col. 15, Line 60- Col. 16, Line 40).

The further dependent claims further limit rejected independent claims, and thus, also remain rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1, 3-5, 7-8, 12-14, 26, 28, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ladd et al (U.S. Patent: 6,269,336).

With respect to Claim 1, Ladd discloses:

Receiving a request for information (Col. 4, Lines 37-49; Fig. 2, Element 156; Col. 10, Lines 12-21);

Obtaining the information (obtaining information in the form of an audio prompt, Col. 10, Line 58- Col. 11, Line 11), the information comprising prompt mapping information and

Art Unit: 2626

prompt content (audio prompt information comprising prompt mapping contained in a tree structure, Col. 12, Line 15- Col. 13, Line 65; Fig. 7; and prompt element tags defining prompt content, Col. 16, Lines 29-40; Col. 18, Lines 34-45; and Fig. 6); and

Executing the obtained information, wherein executing includes interpreting at least a portion of the prompt content using at least a portion of the prompt mapping information (issuing a prompt based upon the tree mapping, state, and content information, Col. 13, Lines 42-65; Col. 16, Lines 5-40; Col. 18, Lines 34-45; and Fig. 6).

With respect to Claim 3, Ladd discloses:

The communication device is one of a standard telephone, a cellular telephone, and a personal digital assistant (Col. 6, Lines 37-49; and Col. 7, Lines 25-33).

With respect to Claim 4, Ladd discloses:

The prompt content includes at least one text portion, and the prompt mapping information includes a respective prompt class associated with each of the at least one text portion, and interpreting includes using respective prompt classes to determine which prompt of a plurality of prompts maps to each of the at least one text portion (Field (class) attribute that is used as an identifier to produce one of a plurality of specific prompts, Col. 37, Lines 8-34).

With respect to Claim 5, Ladd discloses:

Parsing the information subsequent to obtaining the information (Col. 12, Lines 15-24).

With respect to Claim 7, Ladd discloses:

Encoding an XML tag in the intermediary form (Col. 16, Lines 29-40; Col. 5, Lines 8-11); and

Application/Control Number: 09/933,956

Art Unit: 2626

Encoding a tag state in the intermediary form (start, end, and dialog tags, Col. 16, Lines 29-40).

With respect to Claim 8, Ladd discloses:

Generating an array representing the information (Col. 12, Lines 15-24).

With respect to Claim 12, Ladd discloses:

Parsing the information subsequent to the step of obtaining (Col. 15, Line 60- Col. 16, Line 28; Col. 12, Lines 15-24); and,

Generating an intermediary from of the parsed information (tree, Col. 15, Lines 15-24).

With respect to Claim 13, Ladd discloses:

Converting the information into audio (Col. 9, Lines 11-27); and,

Playing the audio (Col. 9, Lines 11-27).

With respect to Claim 14, Ladd discloses:

The step of executing includes returning an audio prompt (Col. 14, Lines 10-56).

With respect to Claim 26, Ladd discloses:

A voice browser (Fig. 3, Element 250); and

A prompt audio object providing audio in response to a request (Col. 10, Line 58- Col. 11, Line 11, Col. 10, Lines 13-21), the audio provided including audio dynamically generated from text (reading a prompt to a user via text-to-speech synthesis, Col. 10, Line 58- Col. 11, Line 11; Col. 17, Lines 61-67; and Col. 34, Lines 49-65) and audio from at least one prerecorded audio source (prerecorded prompts, Col. 15, Lines 60-64; Col. 10, Line 58- Col. 11, Line 11; Col. 10, Lines 13-21), each of the at least one prerecorded source associated with an identification (prompt tags, Col. 15, Lines 60-64; Col. 16, Lines 29-40; and Fig. 6).

With respect to Claim 28, Ladd discloses:

The prerecorded audio source is periodically updated (updated audio files pertaining to current weather and stock market information, Col. 40, Line 25- Col. 41, Line 3; and Col. 43, Lines 54-63).

With respect to Claim 30, Ladd discloses location and dialog tag information (Col. 16, Lines 29-40), as well as device information tags (Col. 24, Lines 1-8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2, 10-11, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ladd et al (U.S. Patent: 6,269,336) in view of Uppaluru (U.S. Patent: 5,915,001).

With respect to Claim 2, Ladd discloses the voice browsing method utilizing prompt mapping and content information, as applied to Claim 1. Ladd also teaches storing a generated intermediary data form (Col. 13, Lines 63-65). Ladd does not specifically suggest storing voice browser data in a cache, however Uppaluru teaches storing voice web page data in such a cache (Col. 14, Lines 1-9).

Ladd and Uppaluru are analogous art because they are from a similar field of endeavor in voice-enabled browsers. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the

art, at the time of invention, to modify the teachings of Ladd with the use of a cache for storing voice web page data as taught by Uppaluru to provide a more efficient means of obtaining voice web data by providing for the reuse of a generated voice web page data stored in a cache (Uppaluru, Col. 14, Lines 1-9).

With respect to Claim 10, Uppaluru further discloses:

Determining whether the information is stored in a cache and wherein the step of obtaining obtains the information from the cache (reusing generated voice web page data stored in a cache that would require an inherent data detection step, Col. 14, Lines 1-9).

With respect to Claim 11, Ladd teaches the method of voice browsing capable of generating an intermediary data form as applied to Claim 2, while Uppaluru teaches storing generated voice web page data in a cache for the benefit of providing a more efficient means of obtaining voice web data as applied to Claim 2.

With respect to Claim 32, Uppaluru further discloses:

The prompt content includes a text string, and the prompt mapping information includes a prompt class associated with the text string, the prompt class being one of a plurality of prompt classes, a plurality of pre-recorded prompts are each classified into at least one of the plurality of prompt classes and wherein executing includes comparing the text to pre-recorded prompt labels classified into the prompt class associated with the text string (prompt text indexed to a pre-recorded voice file via a voice type (class) attribute, Col. 22, Line 32- Col. 25, Line 25).

Application/Control Number: 09/933,956

Art Unit: 2626

Conclusion

Page 8

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure:

Cohen et al (U.S. Patent: 6,560,576)- teaches a voice-enabled browser utilizing prompt

elements including type and content.

White et al (U.S. Patent: 6,785,653)- teaches a voice browser featuring prompt class

attributes.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to James S. Wozniak whose telephone number is (571) 272-7632.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 7:30-5:00, F, 7:30-4, Off Alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Hudspeth can be reached at (571) 272-7843. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James S. Wozniak 8/29/2006

DAVID HUDSPETH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600